

# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY GREAT PLAINS REGIONAL MEDICAL COMMAND FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234-6200

3 October 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Instructions for Completing and Processing Military Award Recommendations

#### 1. References:

- a. AR 600-8-22, Military Awards, 25 February 1995.
- b. MEDCOM Pam 600-8-22, Military Awards, 1 July 1998 (Enclosure 1).
- 2. In an effort to process awards in a timely manner and reduce the number of awards returned for administrative errors, we have put together some information which should help in your preparation and processing of military awards (Enclosure 2).
- 3. The only acceptable form is DA Form 638, Recommendation for Award, Nov 94. You may use an electronically reproduced version of the form, but be sure to print the form on both sides of the paper (Enclosure 3). Award recommendations submitted on two sheets of paper will be returned.
- 4. In order to ensure proper and timely recognition, awards should be processed in accordance with the following schedule.
- a. Army Achievement Medal (AAM) recommendations--60 days prior to departure or presentation.
- b. Army Commendation Medal (ARCOM) recommendations--90 days prior to departure or presentation.
- c. Meritorious Service Medal (MSM) recommendations--120 days prior to departure or presentation.
- d. Legion of Merit (LOM) recommendations 150 days prior to retirement or prior to departure or presentation.
- 5. A justification for awards being submitted late (not within the guidelines in paragraph 4 above) is no longer required. However, statistics will be maintained and briefed at the Quarterly Training Briefs. Commanders may request information on awards submitted and submitted late.



MCGP-ZX

SUBJECT: Instructions for Completing and Processing Military Award Recommendations

- 6. Many awards recently received do not properly justify the award recommended and often are only duty descriptions or do not reflect the impact or scope of responsibility necessary for the award. Some guidelines for award justifications are:
- a. The award should reflect both the individual's level of responsibility and the manner of performance.
- b. The degree that an individual's achievement or service enhanced the readiness or effectiveness of the organization, or the degree that they made notable contributions to the morale or esprit de corps of the organization, will be predominant factors for deciding the appropriate award.
- c. To justify a military decoration, an individual should have done more than just performed his job well. Cite specific accomplishments and how they enhanced the organization. For example, if an individual has rewritten a standing operating procedure how has this improved the readiness or effectiveness of the organization? If an individual has devoted many off duty hours, how did this improve the organization? Be specific, list the individual accomplishments and not just sentences with adjectives which do not elaborate on their significant achievements.
- d. The length of time is not a primary consideration; however, speed of accomplishment of an important task can be a determining value of an act. Also, for a service award, the individual would need to have served in the position for a sustained period of time to have achieved a succession of outstanding acts of achievement.
- e. The grade of the individual is another consideration. The higher the grade, the greater the level of responsibility. A much greater level of performance is expected from a colonel as opposed to a first lieutenant or captain. Likewise, more is expected from a master sergeant or sergeant major than that of a specialist or a sergeant. The grade itself is not the consideration; rather the grade is used to determine the duty position. When a colonel or sergeant major is assigned to a duty position, he/she is expected to perform at a level commensurate with his/her grade and duty position.

MCGP-ZX SUBJECT: Instructions for Completing and Processing Military Award Recommendations

- f. To have distinguished themselves, the individuals must be set apart from others in the same or similar military occupational specialty/job specialty by praiseworthy accomplishment. Determination of this distinction requires careful consideration of exactly what is or was expected as the ordinary, routine, or customary behavior and accomplishment, for individuals of like rank and experience, for the circumstances involved.
- g. An impact award does not exist in AR 600-8-22. A military decoration is awarded for service, achievement, or heroism. When an individual has performed an act well above the expected duty performance, this qualifies as an achievement award, not an impact award.
- 7. Requirements for award recommendation submissions.
- a. The LOM award recommendations are to be at the Headquarters, GPRMC a minimum of 150 days prior to ending date; MSMs 120 days.
- b. For all retirement awards, total years of service (years, months, and days) must be annotated. This can be done on Optional Form 41, Routing and Transmittal Slip (Enclosure 4) or on DA Form 200, Transmittal Record (Enclosure 5). Each individual retirement award does not require its own OF 41 or DA Form 200. One form per batch of submitted retirement awards with soldiers' names and total years of service annotated in the remarks block is sufficient.
- c. For officers recommended for awards above MSM, the current ORB must be attached.
- d. For enlisted soldiers, on all MSM and above award recommendations, DA Form 2-1, Personnel Qualification Record, Part II, must be attached. If unavailable, a memorandum stating such from the commander is required.
- e. For award recommendations higher than a MSM, leave the achievements one through four blank. Attach a one page narrative (two pages for retirement awards) justification, double-spaced, and no more than 12 characters per inch to the DA Form 638

MCGP-ZX

SUBJECT: Instructions for Completing and Processing Military Award Recommendations

- Proposed citations for MSMs are to be no more than six lines. Citations for LOMs are to be no more than nine lines, double-spaced, and no more than 12 characters per inch.
- Rewrite of Awards.
- If the Awards Board recommends an award be downgraded or rewritten, the commander of the individual will be notified in writing within 2 working days and be given an opportunity to rewrite the award. The rewritten award must be returned to this headquarters prior to the next scheduled awards board.
- b. The commander may elect to do nothing and wants the award to stand as written. In this case, the recommendation of the Awards Board will be provided to the Commander, Great Plains Regional Medical Command (GPRMC).
- 9. The point of contact for the GPRMC is Mr. Williams at Commercial (210) 295-9709 or DSN 421-9709.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

5 Encls

Colonel, MS Chief of Staff

### DISTRIBUTION:

Commander

Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200 William Beaumont Army Medical Center, Fort Bliss, TX 79920-5001

U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Carson, CO 80913-5101
U.S. Army MEDDAC. Fort Hood, TX 76544-5063
U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Leonard Wood, MO 65473-5700
U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Riley, KS 66442-5036
U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Sill, OK 73503-6300
U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Polk, LA 71459-5100
U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613-7040
U.S. Army MEDDAC, Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-5400

CF (w/encls):

GPRMC Award Board Members

#### PREPARATION OF DA FORM 638

#### ADDRESS DATA

BLOCK 1 (To): Should have the address of the approval authority.

For MSMs and LOM(Retirement): HQ, USA MEDICAL COMMAND

ATTN: MCPE-MA

2050 WORTH ROAD, SUITE 6

FORT SAM HOUSTON, TX 78234-6006

For LOM(Other than Ret): HQDA

ATTN: TAPC-PDO-PA 200 STOVALL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22332-0471

BLOCK 2 (From): Should have the address of the submitting organizational commander

COMMANDER

WILLIAM BEAUMONT AMC EL PASO, TX 77920-5001

BLOCK 3 (Date): Current date

## PART I -- SOLDIER DATA

BLOCK 4 (Name): Enter last, first, middle name (in that order)

BLOCK 5 (Rank): Enter SFC, not E-7; COL and not 06

BLOCK 6 (SSN): Self-explanatory

BLOCK 7 (Organization): Organization recommended soldier assigned to

BLOCK 8 (Previous Awards): List awards with the correct oak leaf cluster or correct numbered award indicated. For instance, MSM-1, MSM(1), or MSM-01, represents an MSM first award. It does not mean the first oak leaf cluster (10LC). If the soldier already has a MSM (10LC), then the correct entry would be MSM-2. Type the word "NONE" when the soldier has no previous service awards. Do not include interim awards. Do not leave this item blank nor use "NA." National Defense Ribbon and Overseas Ribbons are not awards. List the awards by order of priority as indicated below:

Medal of Honor (MH).
Distinguished Service Cross (DSC).

Distinguished Service Medal (DSM). Silver Star (SS).
Legion of Merit (LM).
Distinguished Flying Cross (DFC).
Soldier's Medal (SM).
Bronze Star Medal (BSM).
Purple Heart (PH).
Meritorious Service Medal (MSM).
Air Medal (AM).
Army Commendation Medal (ARCOM).
Army Achievement Medal (AAM).

BLOCK 9 (Branch of Service). Enter the branch of service/and area of concentration for officers, or the branch of service/and primary military occupational specialty for enlisted, e.g., USA/MC or USA/71L4H; if for other branch of service, enter United States Navy (USN), United States Air Force (USAF), etc.

BLOCK 10 (Recommended Award). Ensure the correct oak leaf cluster number is indicated, when applicable.

BLOCK 11 (Period of Award). Enter day, month, and year, 29 August 2000. For retirement award recommendations, the period should be limited to the last 10 years of service.

BLOCK 12a (Reason for Award). Enter appropriate code. For a medical retirement enter "RET (Medical)." NOTE: An "IMPACT" award does not exist in accordance with AR 600-8-22. Instead, enter "ACH" in this item. For a POSTHUMOUS award, enter "SVC" in this item and check the "YES" [X] box in Block 13, POSTHUMOUS.

BLOCK 12b (Interim Award). If the "YES" block is checked, state the interim award given. Forward with the recommendation package, a copy of the interim award given. Do not include interim awards in Block 8 (Previous Awards). Otherwise, check the "NO" box.

BLOCK 13 (Posthumous) Check the "NO" box if not a posthumous award; otherwise follow Block 12a instructions.

### PART II -- RECOMMENDER DATA.

Blocks 14-18. Self-explanatory.

Block 19 (Signature). The recommender must always sign this block.

# PART III -- JUSTIFICATION AND CITATION DATA.

BLOCK 20 (Achievements). For awards higher than MSM, achievements one through four will be left blank. Achievements one through four are to be used for MSMs and below and are limited to no more than 4 lines per achievement. For LOMs, attach a 1 page (2 for retirement) narrative justification, double-spaced, and no more than 12 characters per inch.

BLOCK 21 (Proposed Citation). Leave this item blank for awards higher than a MSM. For MSM and below, the maximum is 6 lines. The LM citations will be no more than 9 lines, double-spaced, no more than 12 characters per inch, and attached to the DA Form 638.

# PART IV--RECOMMENDATIONS/APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL.

BLOCK 22 (Certification of Eligibility and Data). Must be completely filled in and signed by company soldier assigned to. If received unsigned, the award recommendation will not be processed. It will be returned for signature.

BLOCK 23 (Intermediate Authority). Company commanders will use this block unless the recommender who signed block 19 on the front side is the Hospital/MEDDAC/MTF commander. If this is the case, this block will be for the MSC commander. All blocks in this area must be filled out.

BLOCK 24 (Intermediate Authority). The Hospital/MTF/MEDDAC commander will use this block unless they are the recommender of the award. If so, they sign block only block 19 on the front side. All blocks in this area must be filled out.

BLOCK 25 (Intermediate Authority). The Great Plains Regional Medical Command (GPRMC) commander will use this block and will fill out all blocks. Again unless the hospital/MTF/MEDDAC commander is the recommender. Then the GPRMC commander will use block 23 and this block will remain blank.

BLOCK 26 (Approval Authority). This block is reserved for the Commander, HQ MEDCOM, for final approval. This block is left entirely blank as it is programmed into the database and will be automatically printed when the Commanding General finalized the award.

PART V-ORDERS DATA. This item should be left completely blank on all awards forwarded to HQ MEDCOM for processing.

# For use of this form, see AR 600-8-22; the proponent agency is ODCSPER

For valor/heroism/wartime and all awar	ds higher than	MSM, refer to special instru	ctions in Chapter 3	, AR 600-8-22.
1. TO HQ, MEDCOM, ATTN: MCPE-MA		2. FROM COMMANDER		3. DATE
FT SAM HOUSTON, TX 78234-6000		MTF/MEDDAC/HOSPITAL,	FT XXXX 00000	30 Aug 00
	PART	I - SOLDIER DATA		J.,
4. NAME		5. RANK	6. SSN	
Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial		MSG(not E-8); COL not 0-6	123-4	5-6789
7. ORGANIZATION		8. PREVIOUS AWARDS MSM -2; ARCOM - 1; AAM	-2	
9. BRANCH OF SERVICE		10. RECOMMENDED AWARD	11. PERIOD a. FROM	OF AWARD b. TO
USA/MSC; USA/91B40	•	AM	23 Jul 95	15 Dec 00
12. REASON FOR AWARD .		<u> </u>	13. POST	
12a. INDICATE ACH, SVC, PCS, ETS, OR RET	12b. INTERIM A	AWARD YES X NO		
	IF YES, STATE		YES	NO X
PCS				(2.5)
	PART II - R	ECOMMENDER DATA	<u> </u>	
14. NAME	**************************************	15. ADDRESS	**************************************	
Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial		Military Address of recommen	der	
16. TITLE/POSITION Self-explanatory	17. RANK MSG; COL			
18. RELATIONSHIP TO AWARDEE		19. SIGNATURE		
Supervisor		Must be si	gned by recommender	
PART III - JUSTIFICATION AND	CITATION DATA	(Use specific bullet examples of m	eritorious acts or service	e)
20. ACHIEVEMENTS ACHIEVEMENT #1				
Award recommendations for MSMs and below nch. For award recommendations higher than for retirement), double spaced, not to not to ex	MSM, achievem	ents 1 - 4 will be left blank. The	e narrative will be a or	ne page (two pages
ACHIEVEMENT #2 Use quantifiable data whenever possible; show Army. Do not simply provide duty descriptions Rewriting SOPs and working many off-duty how he unit and how these efforts improved the unit ACHIEVEMENT #3 For MSMs and below do not duplicate achievement.	s. Cite specific e ars alone is not a	examples where individuals have ecceptable. State how these effort	done more than perfo	rm their jobs well.
For MSMs and below do not duplicate achieven	nent soldier has a	dready received an award for.		
CHIEVEMENT #4  These 4 blocks and the written narrative for LM  approval, disapproval or downgrade of an award  and beyond normal duty performance and result	d. These portion	s must be strongly written. The	contents must have m	eat, show above
11. PROPOSED CITATION				
For MSM and below no more than 6	lines.			
For LM no more than 9 lines double- ind attached to the DA Form 638	-spaced, typeo	d on one page with no mo	re than 12 charac	ters per inch

INAIVIE		1 22N	
Last Name, First Na	me, Middle Initial	123-45-6	6789
		NS/APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL	
22. I certify that this in	ndividual is eligible for an award in accordance with	22a. SIGNATURE	22b. DATE
	that the information contained in Part I is correct.	Signed by Company	
23. INTERMEDIATE	a. TO Hospital/MEDDAC/MTF Cdr	b. FROM Company Commander	c. DATE
AUTHORITY	a. 10 Hospital/MEDDAC/MTF Cut	b. FROW Company Commander	C. DATE
d. RECOMMEND:	X APPROVAL DISAPPROVAL	UPGRADE TO: DOW	VNGRADE TO:
e. NAME		f. RANK	•
Name of Company C	Commander	CPT	
g. TITLE/POSITION		h. SIGNATURE	
Company Commando	er		
i. COMMENTS			
Not necessary but ca	n be useful		
24. INTERMEDIATE AUTHORITY	a. TO Commander, GPRMC Ft Sam Houston, TX 78234	b. FROM Hospital/MEDDAC/MTF	Cdr c. DATE
d. RECOMMEND:	X APPROVAL DISAPPROVAL		VNGRADE TO:
e. NAME		f. RANK	
Hospital/MEDDAC/	MTF commander	CO	L
g. TITLE/POSITION		h. SIGNATURE	
Commander			
i. COMMENTS			
Not required, may be	a ucaful		
25. INTERMEDIATE		b. FROM	c. DATE
AUTHORITY	a. TO	b. PROW	C. DATE
d. RECOMMEND:	APPROVAL   DISAPPROVAL	UPGRADE TO: DOV	NNGRADE TO:
e. NAME		f. RANK	
g. TITLE/POSITION		h. SIGNATURE	
i. COMMENTS			
26. APPROVAL	a. TO	b. FROM	c. DATE
AUTHORITY			
d. APPROVED	DISAPPROVED RECOMMENI	D UPGRADE TO: DOV	WNGRADE TO:
e. NAME		f. RANK	
g. TITLE/POSITION		h. SIGNATURE	`,
i. COMMENTS			h
		•	
	DARTY O	DDEDC DATA	
27- ODDEDO (00)	And the second s	RDERS DATA	DISTRIBUTION
27a. ORDERS ISSUING	HU	27b. PERMANENT ORDER NO. 31.	. DISTRIBUTION
28a. NAME OF ORDER	S APPROVAL AUTHORITY	28b. RANK	
28c. TITLE/POSITION		29. APPROVED AWARD	
		}	
28d. SIGNATURE		30. DATE	
		_ =	

# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES ARMY MEDICAL COMMAND 2050 Worth Road Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234-6000

MEDCOM Pamphlet No. 600-8-22

1 July 1998

# Personnel--General MILITARY AWARDS

PARAGRAPH	PAGE
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL HISTORY PURPOSE SCOPE REFERENCES EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS AWARD RECOMMENDATIONS 1-6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2
CHAPTER 2 DECORATIONS DISTINGUISHED SERVICE MEDAL LEGION OF MERIT SOLDIER'S MEDAL MERITORIOUS SERVICE MEDAL ARMY COMMENDATION MEDAL ARMY ACHIEVEMENT MEDAL 2-5 2-6	3 3 3 3 3
CHAPTER 3 CERTIFICATES AND MEMORANDUMS CERTIFICATES OF ACHIEVEMENTS	4 4 4
CHAPTER 4 GUIDANCE IN WRITING JUSTIFICATION  JUSTIFICATION OF MILITARY DECORATIONS	5 5
CHAPTER 5 ROUTING AND PROCESSING ORIGINATOR COMMANDER	6 6
CHAPTER 6 PUBLISHING PERMANENT ORDERS PERMANENT ORDERS	7
APPENDIX A INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING RECOMMENDATION	11
APPENDIX B WORDS, WORD COMBINATIONS, AND PHRASES	20
GLOSSARY	29

<sup>\*</sup>This pamphlet supersedes MEDCOM Pam 600-8-22, 26 April 1996.

### GENERAL

- 1-1. HISTORY. This issue publishes a revision of this publication. Because the publication has been extensively revised, the changed portions have not been highlighted.
- 1-2. **PURPOSE**. The purpose of this pamphlet is to outline responsibilities and establish procedures for preparation and submission of award recommendations.
- 1-3. SCOPE. This pamphlet is applicable to all units/activities within this command.

#### 1-4. REFERENCES

- a. AR 600-8-22, 25 February 1995, Military Awards.
- b. AR 600-8-104, 27 April 1992, Military Personnel Information Management/Records.
- c. AR 600-8-105, 28 October 1994, Military Orders.
- d. MEDCOM Supplement 1 to AR 600-8-22, 17 June 1998, Military Awards.
- 1-5. EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS. Abbreviations and special terms used in this pamphlet are explained in the glossary.

# 1-6. AWARD RECOMMENDATIONS.

- a. The Commander, Headquarters, U.S. Army Medical Command (MEDCOM), has established that all approved awards be presented before the individual's permanent change of station (PCS)/retirement.
- b. Submit recommendations for awards through the chain of command, with each commander's recommendation for approval or disapproval. The original DA Form 638 (Recommendation for Award) will not be altered. Indicate award recommendations being

- recommended for approval, disapproval, upgrade to, or downgrade to, in Item 23d, 24d, or 25d. Submit award recommendations for approval by MEDCOM in 10 copies (original plus 9 additional) to Commander, U.S. Army Medical Command, ATTN: MCPE-MA, not later than 90 days before the end date of the period of award. Submit award recommendations for approval by Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA) in 10 copies (original plus 9 additional) to Commander, U.S. Army Medical Command, ATTN: MCPE-MA, not later than 120 days before the end date of the period of award.
- c. MEDCOM discourages the use of an interim award. Submitting award recommendations in a timely manner is encouraged; however, when an individual is participating in a formal retirement ceremony, and an award has not been submitted in time for board action, the Awards/Decorations Section will be contacted as soon as possible. Every effort should be made to recognize an individual properly upon retirement. MEDCOM normally does not expedite PCS award recommendations. Awards may be presented at the new duty station if the commander failed to support the MEDCOM Commander's policy on awards (see paragraph 1-6a).
- d. Submit, in writing (memorandum format), and signed by the intended recipient's commander, requests for reconsideration by the MEDCOM Appeals Awards Board of disapproved or downgraded recommendations for an award. Reconsideration requests will arrive at HQ MEDCOM, ATTN: MCPE-MA, not later than 45 days following the date of the memorandum of downgrade or disapproval. Requests for reconsideration should include new and substantive information, not previously considered by the MEDCOM Appeals Awards Board.

#### **DECORATIONS**

- 2-1. DISTINGUISHED SERVICE MEDAL. The Distinguished Service Medal (DSM) is awarded to persons who, while serving in any capacity with the U.S. Army, distinguished themselves by exceptionally meritorious service to the Government in a duty of great responsibility. The performance must be such as to merit recognition for service that is clearly exceptional. Performance of normal duty alone will not justify an award of this decoration.
- 2-2. LEGION OF MERIT. The Legion of Merit (LM) is awarded for performance that merits recognition of key individuals for services rendered in a clearly exceptional manner. Exceptional performance of duties normal to grade, branch, specialty, assignment, or experience of an individual, is not an adequate basis for this award. Service should be in the nature of specific requirement, or of an extremely difficult duty performed in an unprecedented and clearly exceptional meritorious service, in a succession of important positions.
- 2-3. SOLDIER'S MEDAL. The Soldier's Medal (SM) is awarded to persons of the Armed Forces of the United States, or of a friendly foreign nation who, while serving in any capacity with the U.S. Army, distinguished themselves by heroism not involving actual conflict with an enemy. The performance must have involved personal hazard or danger, and the voluntary risk of life under

- conditions not involving conflict with an armed enemy. Awards will not be made solely on the basis of having saved a life.
- 2-4. MERITORIOUS SERVICE MEDAL.
  The Meritorious Service Medal (MSM) is awarded to members of the Armed Forces of the United States who, while serving in a noncombat area after 16 January 1969, distinguished themselves by outstanding meritorious achievement or service.
- 2-5. ARMY COMMENDATION MEDAL. The Army Commendation Medal (ARCOM) is awarded to members of the Armed Forces of the United States for distinguishing themselves by heroism, meritorious achievement, or meritorious service. Awards may be for acts of valor performed under circumstances described above, that are of a lesser degree than required for award of the Bronze Star Medal. These acts may involve aerial flight. An award may be made for acts of noncombatant-related heroism that do not meet the requirements for an award of the SM.
- 2-6. ARMY ACHIEVEMENT MEDAL. The Army Achievement Medal (AAM) is awarded to members of the Armed Forces of the United States who, while serving in any capacity with the Army in a noncombat area on or after 1 August 1981, distinguished themselves by meritorious service or achievement of a lesser degree than required for award of the ARCOM. The AAM will not be awarded to general officers.

# CERTIFICATES AND MEMORANDUMS

- 3-1. CERTIFICATES OF ACHIEVEMENTS (CofA). Commanders may recognize periods of faithful service, and acts or achievements which do not meet the standards required for decorations, by issuing DA Form 2442 (Certificate of Achievement); MEDCOM Form 101 (Certificate of Achievement); or a CofA of local design to individual military personnel. The social security number (SSN) will not be entered on the CofA due to the provisions of the Privacy Act.
- 3-2. MEMORANDUMS OF COMMENDATION/ APPRECIATION. Acts of service meeting the criteria for lesser recognition may be written for
- Memorandums of Commendation/ Appreciation, and typed on letterhead stationery. A Memorandum of Commendation/Appreciation is appropriate when an individual demonstrated a highly satisfactory performance of duty.
- 3-3. DISTRIBUTION. Distribute copies of each CofA and Memorandums of Commendation/Appreciation to the individual's Military Personnel Records Jacket/Official Military Personnel File in accordance with AR 600-8-104.

### GUIDANCE IN WRITING JUSTIFICATION

# 4-1. JUSTIFICATION OF MILITARY DECORATIONS.

- a. The award should reflect both the individual's level of responsibility and the manner of performance.
- b. The degree that an individual's achievement or service enhanced the readiness or effectiveness of the organization, or the degree that they made notable contributions to the morale or esprit de corps of the organization, will be the predominant factors for deciding the appropriate award.
- c. To justify a military decoration, an individual should have done more than just performed his job well. Cite specific accomplishments and how they enhanced the organization. For example, if an individual has rewritten a standing operating procedure (SOP)—how has this improved the readiness or effectiveness of the organization? If an individual has devoted many off-duty hours—how did this improve the organization? Be specific, list the individual accomplishments and not just sentences with adjectives which do not elaborate on their significant achievements.
- d. The length of time is not a primary consideration; however, speed of accomplishment of an important task can be a determining value of an act. Also, for a service award, the individual would need to have served in the position for a sustained period of time to have achieved a succession of outstanding acts of achievement.

- The grade of the individual e. is another consideration. The higher the grade, the greater the level of responsibility. A much greater level of performance is expected from a colonel as opposed to a first lieutenant or captain. Likewise, more is expected from a master sergeant or sergeant major than a specialist or sergeant. grade itself is not the consideration; rather, the grade is used to determine the duty position. When a colonel or sergeant major is assigned to a duty position, he/she is expected to perform at a level commensurate with his/her grade and duty position.
- f. To have distinguished themselves, the individuals must be set apart from others in the same or similar military occupational specialty (MOS)/job specialty by praiseworthy accomplishment. Determination of this distinction requires careful consideration of exactly what is or was expected as the ordinary, routine, or customary behavior and accomplishment, for individuals of like rank and experience, for the circumstances involved.
- 4-2. IMPACT AWARD. An impact award does not exist in AR 600-8-22. A military decoration is awarded for service, achievement, or heroism. When an individual has performed an act well above the expected duty performance, this qualifies as an achievement award, not an impact award.

### ROUTING AND PROCESSING

5-1. ORIGINATOR. Individuals having personal knowledge of an act, achievement, or service believed to warrant a military decoration, are responsible for submitting formal recommendations to military command channels for consideration. Submit the recommendation on DA Form 638 and comply with the preparation instructions in appendix A. Forward the packet through the chain of command to the respective commander.

5-2. COMMANDER. The commander will, in those cases where he is not the recommender, review the recommendation and sign Items 23h, 24h, or 25h. For recommending approval, disapproval, upgrade to, or downgrade to, indicate in Items 23d, 24d, or 25d. A justification for lateness is no longer required; however, statistics will still be

maintained. Commanders requesting lateness information on award recommendations will be honored. Forward LM recommendations for retirement, MSM and below, 90 days before the end date of the period of award in Item 11b. Forward all other award recommendations that require HQDA approval, 120 days before the end date of the period of award in Item 11b. Forward award recommendations in 10 copies (each original plus 9 additional copies of DA Form 638, if applicable, the proposed citation, the narrative justification, and the commander's memorandum of lateness when necessary). Forward one complete packet of 10 copies for each award recommendation to Commander, U.S. Army Medical Command, 2050 Worth Road, ATTN: MCPE-MA, Suite 6, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6006.

# PUBLISHING PERMANENT ORDERS

# 6-1. PERMANENT ORDERS.

- a. Permanent Orders (PO) will be published on DA Form 638, Nov 94. The final award approval authority will complete block 26 and forward the DA Form 638 to orders issuing authority for completion of Part V-Orders Data (figure 1).
- b. Permanent Orders for amendment and revocation are published in accordance with Format 700 and Format 705 (figure 2) (see AR 600-8-105).
- c. AR 600-8-105, paragraph 2-23b(14) states that commanders are authorized to endorse other orders. For a sample of an endorsement to a PO see Figure 2-7 (figure 3).

NAME			SSN	1
72 / corrify that this indivi-	dual is eligible for an award in accor	PART IV - RECOMMEN	DATIONS/APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL	-
AR 600-8-22: and that	tual is engine for an award in accor the information contained in Part ( )	riance with	22a SIGNATURE	22b. DATE
23. INTERMEDIATE		is correct.		
AUTHORITY	e. TO		b. FROM	c. DATE
d. RECOMMEND:	APPROVAL	DISAPPROVAL	UPGRADE TO:	OOWNGRADE TO:
	• •		f. RANK	
g. TITLE/POSITION			h. SIGNATURE	
COMMENTS				
· · · .				
24. INTERMEDIATE CANTON CONTROL CONTRO	a TO	•	E. FROM	C. DATE
RECOMMEND:	APPROVAL	DISAPPROVAL	UPGRADE TO:	DOWNERADE TO.
NAME			f. RANK	DOWNGRADE TO:
TITLEIPOSITION			b. SENATURE	
COMMENTS			MOL	
	•			
INTERMEDIATE	4.T0		111	
AUTHORITY		ے کے	FLYDM	C. DATE
		=40		
RECOMMEND: NAME	APPROVAL	DISAPPROVAL	UPGRADE TO:	DOWNGRADE TO:
	4	<b>∨ / / ,</b>	i. RANK	
TITLEPOSITION			L SIGNATURE	
OMMENTS		<del>}</del>		
	1	<i>JY</i>		
APPROVAL				
	OKDERS ISSUING AU		b. FROM	C DATE
	ONDERS ISSUING AL	JIHORITY	COMMANDER, GREAT PL	AINC DMC
( <u>5.71</u>			COMMENCE CALLETT IL	AII 13 KINC 1 JUN 98
	DISAM ROVED			2 2011 78
AME	DISA PROVED	RECOMMEND UP(	GRADE TO:	DOWNGRADE TO:
AME LF-EXPLANATOR TLEIPOSITION	DISAFROVE		GRADE TO:   L. RANK   SELF-E	2 2011 78
AME LF-EXPLANATOR TLEPOSITION LF-EXPLANATOR	DISAFROVE		GRADE TO:	DOWNGRADE TO:
AME LF-EXPLANATOR TLEPOSITION LF-EXPLANATOR	DISAFROVE		GRADE TO:   L. RANK   SELF-E	DOWNGRADE TO:
AME LF-EXPLANATOR TLEPOSITION LF-EXPLANATOR	DISAFROVE		GRADE TO:   L. RANK   SELF-E	DOWNGRADE TO:
AME LF-EXPLANATOR THEIPOSITION LF-EXPLANATOR MMENTS	DISAFROVE	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:   L. RANK   SELF-E	DOWNGRADE TO:
AME LF-EXPLANATOR ITLE/POSITION LF-EXPLANATOR IMMENTS ORDERS ISSUING HO	DISAFROVE	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SELF-E   L. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:
AME LF-EXPLANATOR ITLEPOSITION LF-EXPLANATOR IMMENTS  ORDERS ISSUING HO MC RT SAM HOUSTON	DISA-PROVED  RY  N, TX 78234-6200	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SEL.F-E   L. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:  XPLANATORY  31. DISTRIBUTION
AME LF-EXPLANATOR ITLE/POSITION LF-EXPLANATOR IMMENTS  ORDERS ISSUING HO MC RT SAM HOUSTON NAME OF ORDERS APPROVAL	DISA-PROVED  N. TX 78234-6200  LAUTHORITY	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SEL.F-E   L. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:  XPLANATORY  31. DISTRIBUTION  1-ORDERS SET
AME LF-EXPLANATOR ITLE/POSITION LF-EXPLANATOR IMMENTS  ORDERS ISSUING HO MC RT SAM HOUSTON NAME OF ORDERS APPROVAL CHELLE BESEMA	DISA-PROVED  N. TX 78234-6200  LAUTHORITY	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SELF-E   L. SIGNATURE    RDERS DATA   27L PERMANENT ORDER NO.  USE JULIAN DATE (175-1)	DOWNGRADE TO:  XPLANATORY  31. DISTRIBUTION  1-ORDERS SET 1-UNIT
AME LF-EXPLANATOR ITLE/POSITION LF-EXPLANATOR IMMENTS  ORDERS ISSUING HO MC RT SAM HOUSTON NAME OF ORDERS APPROVAL CHELLE BESEMA ITTLE/POSITION	DISA-PROVED  N. TX 78234-6200  LAUTHORITY	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SELF-E   L. SIGNATURE    RDERS DATA     27b. PERMANENT ORDER NO.     USE JULIAN DATE (175-1)     28b. RANK	DOWNGRADE TO:  XPLANATORY  31. DISTRIBUTION  1-ORDERS SET
AME LF-EXPLANATOR ITTEPOSITION LF-EXPLANATOR IMMENTS  ORDERS ISSUING HO MC RT SAM HOUSTON NAME OF ORDERS APPROVAL CHELLE BESEMA ITTEPOSITION MIL PERS DIV	DISA-PROVED  N. TX 78234-6200  LAUTHORITY	RECOMMENO UPI	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SELF-E   L. SIGNATURE    RDERS DATA   27L PERMANENT ORDER NO.  USE JULIAN DATE (175-1)  28L RANK   CPT  29. APPROVED AWARD	DOWNGRADE TO:  XPLANATORY  31. DISTRIBUTION  1-ORDERS SET 1-UNIT
APPROVED  AME  ILF-EXPLANATOR  IIILIPOSITION  LF-EXPLANATOR  IMMENTS  ORDERS ISSUING HO  MC  RT SAM HOUSTON  NAME OF ORDERS APPROVAN  CHELLE BESEMA  IIILIPOSITION  MIL PERS DIV  SIGNATURE	DISA-PROVED  N. TX 78234-6200  LAUTHORITY	RECOMMEND UP	GRADE TO:    L. RANK   SELF-E   L. SIGNATURE    RDERS DATA   27L PERMANENT ORDER NO.  USE JULIAN DATE (175-1)  28L RANK   CPT	DOWNGRADE TO:  XPLANATORY  31. DISTRIBUTION  1-ORDERS SET 1-UNIT

# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY UNITED STATES ARMY MEDICAL DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-5166

# PERMANENT ORDERS 000-0

Date Published

Following order is amended as indicated.

So much of: Permanent Orders 000-0, this headquarters, date of PO Pertaining to: Award of the Army Commendation Medal to DOE, JOHN G. 000-00-000 MAJ USAMEDDAC, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5166
As reads: Award: Army Commendation Medal How changed: Amended to read: Award: Army Commendation Medal (First Oak Leaf Cluster) Authority: AR 600-8-22, paragraph 1-28 Format: 700

2. Following order is revoked as shown.

Action: Revoke So much of: Permanent Orders 000-0, this headquarters, date of PO Pertaining to: Award of the Army Achievement Medal to ADAMS, JOHN J. 111-11-1111 SPC USAMEDDAC, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5166 Authority: AR 600-8-22, paragraph 1-29 Format: 705

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Appropriate Signature Block

### DISTRIBUTION:

1 - HQDA (TAPC-MSR), ALEX VA 22332-0400 (Officer) 1 - Cdr, USAREC, ATTN: PCRE-FS, Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46249-5000 (Enlisted)

1 - Unit

1 - Each Individual

1 - MCPE-MA

MCPE-MA (date of PO) (600-8-22) 1st End DSN appropriate phone number CPT Burton/pg/ SUBJECT: Amendment to Permanent Orders 000-0, HQ MEDCOM, date of PO

U.S. Army Department Activity, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5166

FOR MAJ JOHN G. DOE, U.S. Army Medical Department Activity, Fort Belvoir, VA

Following order is amended as indicated.

So much of: Permanent Orders 000-0, this headquarters, date of PO Pertaining to: Award of the Army Commendation Medal to DOE, JOHN G. 000-00-0000 MAJ USAMEDDAC, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5166 As reads: Award: Army Commendation Medal How changed: Amended to read: Award: Army Commendation Medal (First Oak Leaf Cluster) Authority: AR 600-8-22, paragraph 1-28 Format: 700 Format:

FOR THE COMMANDER:

# Appropriate Signature Block

### DISTRIBUTION:

- 1 HQDA (TAPC-MSR), ALEX VA 22332-0400 (Officer)
- 1 Cdr, USAREC, ATTN: PCRE-FS, Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46249-5000 (Enlisted)
- 1 Major Doe
- 1 MCPE-MA

#### APPENDIX A

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING RECOMMENDATION FOR AWARD FORM

- 1. DA Form 638 (Recommendation For Award).
- a. Entries on DA Form 638 may be handwritten or in hand printed form. The recommendation must be readable to be processed for a decision. Use only black ink for machine readability (photocopies). Typing the recommendation is not required; however, it is encouraged for neatness and readability.
- b. Use of the computer-generated DA Form 638 (self-generated in WordPerfect) is not acceptable. The database program is set to place all the applicable information automatically in Item 26, including Part V-Orders Data information. The generated DA Form 638 items are not spaced according to the regular DA Form 638; therefore, having it to be accomplished manually, making it time consuming.
- c. Use of the Delrina FormFlow DA Form 638 is acceptable; however, if FormFlow is not available, use the regular DA Form 638, Nov 94.
- d. The DA Form 638 will be the award's permanent order. DA's intent with the DA Form 638 is to have everything accomplished on one piece of paper (head to foot). Therefore, two separate pages will not be accepted. Extra added information on top, bottom, or any other markings anywhere, including outside the black borders of DA Form 638 is not acceptable. A narrative justification for MSM and below award recommendations are not required for DA Form 638. Award recommendations received with a narrative justification will be removed and not forwarded to the appropriate awards board.
- e. Always forward the original DA Form 638 along with the award recommendation to HQ MEDCOM, ATTN: MCPE-MA, even when an award recommendation is expedited by telefax. Maintain the record copy for 25 years.
- 2. Requirements for award recommendation submission to HQ MEDCOM.
- a. LM (retirement only), MSM and below award recommendations are to be at HQ MEDCOM 90 days before the ending date in Item 11b (Period of Award). All other award recommendations higher than an MSM, are to be at HQ MEDCOM 120 days before the ending date in Item 11b.
- b. Forward the original DA Form 638, including the proposed citation and narrative justification for LM for retirement, and nine additional copies. For all other award recommendations higher than an MSM, forward the original DA Form 638, the proposed citation and narrative justification, and nine additional copies.
- c. Forward 10 copies of the current Officer Record Brief (ORB) (for officers only), for award recommendations higher than an MSM. This applies to all service awards.
- d. Forward two copies of PCS orders when available. Do not hold the processing of an award recommendation pending receipt of PCS orders.
- e. Forward one copy of expiration term of service (ETS)/release from active duty (REFRAD)/Retirement orders when available. Do not hold the processing of an award recommendation pending receipt of orders.
  - f. Point of contact and Total Years of Service:
- (1) The point of contact/Defense Switch Network (DSN) telephone number and total years of service (for retirement awards only) may be included on an Optional Form 41 (Routing and Transmittal Slip), post-it note, or DA Form 200 (Transmittal Record). Highlight Block 8 (Person of Contact (name and telephone number)) and enter the total years of service in Block 18 (Remarks)

- of DA Form 200. Attach to DA Form 638, or if applicable, in the commander's memorandum of lateness.
- (2) State the total years of service for retirement in years and months (i.e., TYS: 26, 10).
  - g. Recommendation: Approval/Upgrade/Downgrade/Disapproval:
- (1) All award recommendations being forwarded to HQ MEDCOM, will first be sent to the major subordinate command (MSC). MSCs are considered to be the Regional Dental Commands (RDCs), Regional Medical Commands (RMCs), Regional Veterinary Commands (RVCs), and other MSCs that are not service support commands. For RDCs and RVCs, the next level of command should be the U.S. Army Dental Command (DENCOM) or the U.S. Army Veterinary Command (VETCOM); for RMCs and other MSCs, HQ MEDCOM will be the next level of command.
- (2) For recommended approval, disapproval, upgrade to, or downgrade, use Item 23d, 24d, or 25d. When the recommended award in Item 10 is an MSM, the commander should enter "X" in the RECOMMENDED [X] APPROVAL box in Item 23d, 24d, or 25d. This means the commander is recommending approval for the recommended MSM.

NOTE: Do not use the upgrade to or downgrade, unless the recommendation is actually being upgraded or downgraded to the next higher or lower award.

- (a) When the commander recommends upgrade to the LM instead of the MSM, resubmit the award recommendation on DA Form 638 with a proposed citation and narrative justification attached. Leave Items 20 (Achievements), and 21 (Proposed Citation), blank. DA Forms 638 received with upgrade to LM in Item 23d, 24d, or 25d will not be accepted. It will be returned for resubmission as an LM award recommendation. At MSC level, ensure these items are thoroughly examined.
- (b) It is recommended the unit commander sign Item 23; however, if the commander signs Item 19 as the recommender, leave Item 23 blank.
- (c) In the absence of the commander, the acting commander must be on assumption of command orders with a start and end date (blanket orders do not suffice). Forward a copy with each award recommendation.
- (3) Organization authorized commanders, in the grade of Major General, are delegated the authority to disapprove/downgrade Legion of Merit (LM) award recommendations without referral to HQ MEDCOM.
- (4) Organization authorized commanders, in the grade of Brigadier General or Colonel, are delegated the authority to disapprove/downgrade MSM MEDCOM.
- (5) Organization authorized commanders, in the grade of Lieutenant Colonel, are delegated the authority to disapprove/downgrade ARCOM recommendations without referral to the next level chain of command or HQ MEDCOM.
- Preparation of the DA Form 638.
- a. The DA Form 638, should not have changes made to it other than by the recommender, Item 19.

### b. Address/Date:

Item 1 (To) Should have the address of the approving authority:

HQ USA MEDICAL COMMAND ATTN MCPE-MA 2050 WORTH ROAD, SUITE 6 FORT SAM HOUSTON TX 78234-6006

OR

HQDA ATTN TAPC-PDO-PA 200 STOVALL ST ALEX VA 22332-0471

Item 2 (From) Should have the address of the commander:

COMMANDER
USAMEDDAC
FORT RILEY KS 66442-5036

Item 3 (Date) Enter the date the award recommendation was initiated.

c. PART I - Soldier Data.

Item 4 (Name) Enter last, first, middle name (in that order).

Item 5 (Rank) Enter SFC, not E-7; COL, and not O-6.

Item 6 (SSN) Self-explanatory.

Item 7 (Organization) Self-explanatory.

Item 8 (Previous Awards) List awards with the correct oak leaf cluster or correct numbered award indicated. For instance, MSM-1, MSM(1), or MSM-01, represents an MSM first award. It does not mean the first oak leaf cluster (1OLC). If the soldier already has an MSM (1OLC), then the correct entry would be MSM-2. Type the word "NONE" when the soldier has no previous service awards. Do not include interim awards. Do not leave this item blank nor use "NA." List the awards by order of priority as indicated below:

Medal of Honor (MH)
Distinguished Service Cross (DSC)
Distinguished Service Medal (DSM)
Silver Star (SS)
Legion of Merit (LM)
Distinguished Flying Cross (DFC)
Soldier's Medal (SM)
Bronze Star Medal (BSM)
Purple Heart (PH)
Meritorious Service Medal (MSM)
Air Medal (AM)
Army Commendation Medal (ARCOM) (Do not use ACM)
Army Achievement Medal (AAM)

Item 9 (Branch of Service) Enter the branch of service/and area of concentration (AOC) for officers, or the branch of service/and primary military occupational specialty (PMOS) for enlisted (e.g., USA/MC or USA/71L4H; if for other branch of service, enter United States Navy (USN), United States Air Force (USAF), United States Marine Corps (USMC), or United States Coast Guard (USCG), or if for a foreign soldier (e.g., enter Australian Air Force).

Item 10 (Recommended Award) Ensure the correct oak leaf cluster number is indicated, when applicable.

Item 11 (Period of Award) Enter day, month, and year (i.e., 31 Mar 98). For retirement award recommendations: normally, these are closed out the last day of the month prior to the soldier being placed on the retired list, except for medical retirements. NOTE: Each individual approaching retirement may be considered for an appropriate decoration based on his or her grade, years of service, degree of responsibility, and manner of performance. Meritorious service awards may be awarded, upon retirement, which may include recommended that such periods be limited to the last 10 years of service. This is not to imply that an extended period of service should be considered for every individual who retires. An extended period should only be terminal assignment would not qualify him or her for an appropriate award. It is neither necessary nor desirable to consider an extended period of service when the length and character of service of retirees in their terminal assignment would qualify them for an appropriate award.

Item 12a (Reason For Award) Enter as indicated in this item. For a medical retirement, enter "RET (Medical)."

NOTE: An "IMPACT" award does not exist IAW AR 600-8-22. Instead, enter "ACH" in this item. For a POSTHUMOUS award, enter "SVC" in this item and check the "YES" [X] box in Item 13, POSTHUMOUS.

Item 12b (Interim Award) If the "YES" [X] box is checked, state the interim award given. Forward with the recommendation package, a copy of the interim award given. Do not include interim awards in Item 8 (Previous Awards). Otherwise, check the "NO" [X] box.

Item 13 (Posthumous) Check the "NO" [X] box if not a posthumous award; otherwise follow Item 12a instructions.

d. PART II - Recommender Data:

Items 14 through 18, Self-explanatory.

Item 19 (Recommender) The recommender must always sign this item.

NOTE: If the unit commander signs this item, then Items 23, 24, or 25 require

e. PART III - Justification and Citation Data:

Item 20 (Achievements) Achievements 1 through 4, will be left blank for awards higher than an MSM. Achievements 1 through 4, when used for MSM and below, are limited to no more than 4 lines per achievement. When using Item 20 for higher than an MSM, (e.g., for an LM), attach a one page narrative justification, double-spaced, and no more than 12 characters per inch, to DA double-spaced, and no more than 12 characters per inch, to DA form 638.

NOTE: The narrative justification is the most important section of the recommendation and the content is the basis for approval or disapproval of the

Item 21 (Proposed Citation) Leave this item blank for awards higher than an MSM. Limit this item, when used for MSM and below, to no more than 6 lines for an award. Limit a proposed citation for an LM/SM to no more than 9 lines, double-spaced, no more than 12 characters per inch, and attach to DA 12 characters per inch, and attach to DA 12 characters per inch, and attach to DA Form 638. Proposed citations will appropriate:

### (1) Recommendation for the DSM:

- (a) Type the proposed citation on a single sheet of bond paper, double-spaced, in 12 pitch, no more than 19 lines. When the award is for retirement, it may cover the last 10 years. The beginning sentence should list all previous positions held in that 10-year period. In the sentences following the introductory sentence, at least one sentence should address each of the positions held. The remainder of the proposed citation should explain, in detail, the last position held.
- (b) In the first paragraph of the narrative justification, list all previous assignments held in the past 10 years. At least one paragraph following the first paragraph should be in detail about each of those positions held. The majority of the proposed citation should address the last duty position held. Limit the narrative justification to five to eight pages in length.

### (2) Recommendation for the LM:

- (a) The beginning sentence should read, "For exceptionally meritorious conduct in the performance of outstanding services as (Job Title), (Unit of Assignment), from (Beginning Date) to (Ending Date)." Next, the BODY OF CITATION, and the ENDING SENTENCE should read "Recipient's Rank and Last Name in possessive) exemplary performance of duty reflects great credit on him/her, the United States Army Medical Command, the Army Medical Department, and the United States Army."
- (b) For the LM retirement award for total service, the beginning sentence should read, "For exceptionally meritorious conduct in the performance of outstanding services during the period (Beginning Date) to (Ending Date), the last being (Job Title), (Unit of Assignment)." Next, the BODY OF CITATION, and the ENDING SENTENCE should read, "Recipient's Rank and Last Name in possessive) exemplary performance of duty was in keeping with the highest traditions of military service, reflecting great credit on him/her, the United States Army Medical Command, the Army Medical Department, and the United States Army."

### (3) Recommendation for the SM:

- (a) Attach statements of eyewitnesses, preferably in the form of certificates, affidavits, sworn statements, extracts from official records, sketches, maps, diagrams, photographs, etc., to support and expand on the stated facts for heroism awards.
- (b) The beginning sentence should read, "For heroism for (List Heroic Act, Date, Location)." Next, the BODY OF CITATION, and the ENDING SENTENCE should read, "Recipient's Rank and Last Name in possessive) exemplary performance of duty was in keeping with the highest traditions of the military service, reflecting great credit on him/her, the United States Army Medical Command, the Army Medical Department, and the United States Army."

## (4) Recommendation for the MSM:

- (a) The beginning sentence should read, "Meritorious service/achievement as (job title)." Next, the BODY OF CITATION, and the ENDING SENTENCE should read, "His/Her performance reflects credit on him/her, the United States Army Medical Command, the Army Medical Department, and the United States Army."
- (b) For the MSM retirement award for total service, the beginning sentence should read, "Meritorious service in positions of great responsibility ending as (job title)." Next, the BODY OF CITATION, and the ENDING SENTENCE should read, "His/Her" exemplary performance of duty was in keeping with the highest traditions of military service, reflecting great credit on him/her, the United States Army Medical Command, the Army Medical Department, and the United States Army."

# (5) Recommendation for the ARCOM:

- (a) The beginning sentence should read, "Meritorious service/ achievement as (job title)." Next, the BODY OF CITATION, and the ENDING SENTENCE should read, "His/Her exemplary performance reflects great credit on him/her, the United States Army Medical Command, and the United States Army."
- (b) · For recommendation for the ARCOM for Heroism, the beginning sentence should read, "For heroism for (List Heroic Act, Date, Location)."
  Next, the BODY OF CITATION and the ENDING SENTENCE should read, "Recipient's Rank and Last Name in possessive) exemplary performance of duty was in keeping with the highest traditions of the military service, reflecting great credit on him/her, his/her organization, the Army Medical Department, and the United
- (6) For recommendation for the AAM, the beginning sentence should read, "For meritorious service/achievement as (job title)."

REVERSE PAGE: ENSURE THE INFORMATION PLACED IN THE NAME AND SSN BLOCKS ARE THE SAME AS IN ITEMS 4 AND 6.

f. PART IV - Recommendations/Approval/Disapproval:

Item 22 (Certification of Eligibility and Data) titled as such, this item should always be completely filled in. If received unsigned, the award recommendation will not be processed. It will be returned

Item 23 (Intermediate Authority) Unit commanders will use this item regardless of the approval authority. This item should always be completely address of the unit's next chain of command. Ensure Item 23d is marked properly, and Item 23h signed before forwarding to the next level chain of properly, and Item 23h signed before forwarding to the next level chain of

Item 24 (Intermediate Authority) The MSC commander or acting commander will use this item. This item should always be completely filled in, including a signature and date. Item 24a should contain the address of the MSC's next level of command. Make sure Item 24d is marked properly, and Item 24h signed before forwarding to the next level chain of command.

- (1) If Item 23h has no signature and/or Item 23d is not completed, the MSC commander will return the award recommendation to the unit commander in
- If Item 22 has no signature/date, the MSC commander will return the (2) award recommendation to the unit commander in Item 23 for signature/date.
- or disapproval in Item 23d, Item 24d must indicate the same, provided the MSC commander agrees with the unit commander's recommendation. If Item 23d (Recommend: Approval is marked), the award recommendation will be approved in accordance with Item 10 (Recommended Award).
- (4) All MSM and below award recommendations downgraded at the MSC level, will be processed there accordingly (e.g., a unit commander with ARCOM approval authority recommends an MSM award recommendation and forwards it to the MSC commander). That commander downgrades the MSM to an ARCOM. In this commander with AAM approval authority recommends an ARCOM instead of an MSM, and forwards it to the MSC commander. That commander downgrades the MSM to an ARCOM, therefore, the ARCOM will be processed at that level.

Item 24 (Intermediate Authority) MSC commanders forwarding award recommendations that are to be approved by DA, will use this item. Ensure a signature, date, and Item 24d are properly marked. Item 24a should contain Ensure a the next level of command (e.g., HQ MEDCOM, ATTN: MCPE-MA, 2050 Worth Road, Suite 6, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6006).

Item 25 (Intermediate Authority) MSC commanders forwarding award recommendations that are to be approved at HQ MEDCOM, will use this frem. This item should always be completely filled in to include a signature and date. Item 25a should contain the next level of command (e.g., HQ MEDCOM, ATTN: MCPE-MA, 2050 Worth Road, Suite 6, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6006). Make sure Item 25d is marked properly and Item 25h signed before forwarding to HQ MEDCOM.

Item 25 (Intermediate Authority) This item should be left blank for the Commander, HQ MEDCOM, for recommendation approval for those award recommendations being forwarded to DA.

NOTE: "Additional intermediate authority recommendations are not required, other than those above mentioned for award recommendations being forwarded through channels to HQ MEDCOM for final approval, and those forwarded to U.S. Total Army Personnel Command (PERSCOM) through HQ MEDCOM.

Item 26 (Approval Authority) This item is reserved for the Commander, HQ MEDCOM, for final approval. Ensure this item is left entirely blank. This item is programmed in the database so when the Commanding General finalizes the award recommendation, the formatted information is automatically printed, including PART V - Orders Data information. If Item 26 is not left blank, it will be accomplished manually, making it time consuming.

- g. PART V Orders Data. This item should be left entirely blank on all awards being forwarded to HQ MEDCOM for processing.
- 4. Award recommendations being forwarded to HQ MEDCOM for processing, will include the following:
  - a. DA Form 638 (original plus 9 additional copies).
- b. Narrative justification, as applicable (original plus nine additional copies).
- c. Proposed citation, as applicable (original plus nine additional copies).
- d. The current ORB (for officers only), on all award recommendations above MSM (original plus nine additional copies).
- e. DA Form 2-1 (Personnel Qualification Record Part II) (for enlisted only), on all MSM and above (original plus nine additional copies).
- f. Support documents (optional), as applicable (original plus nine additional copies).
- g. Eyewitness statements in the form of certificates, affidavits, or sworn statements (optional for Silver Star and lesser awards), (original plus nine additional copies).
- h. Record extracts, sketches, maps, diagrams, and photographs that support and amplify the award of heroism (optional for Silver Star and lesser awards), (original plus nine additional copies).

MEDCUM Pam 600-8-22						
For use of thi	RECOMMEN	NDATION FOR AWA	ARD agency is OD	CSPER		
For valor/heroism/wartime and all awar	ds higher thar	MSM, refer to spe	ecial instruc	tions in Cha	pter 3, AR	600-8-22.
1. TO HQ MEDCOM, ATTN: MCPE-MA		2. FROM COMMANDER, A			3. DA	
FORT SAM HOUSTON, TX 78234-6000		FORT CAMPBELL	L. KY 4222	3		1 JUN 95
	PART	I - SOLDIER DATA			<del></del>	
4. NAME		5. RANK MAJ	1'	8. SSN 1:	23-45-6789	•
Doe, John G.		8. PREVIOUS AWARD	18	<u></u>	23-43-0709	<del></del>
7. ORGANIZATION USAMEDDAC		MSM-2, ARCOM-				
FORT CAMPBELL, KY 42223-1498		MOM-2, ARCOM	J, /MM14-J			
9. BRANCH OF SERVICE		10. RECOMMENDED	AWARD	11. PE	RICD OF AW	ARD
3. SAMILET OF SERVICE	•	10	a	ROM	b. TO	
USA/MC		MSM (20L		17 4 702		1 JUL 95
12. REASON FOR AWARD					POSTHUMOL	JS
12a. INDICATE ACH, SVC, PCS, ETS OR RET	12b. INTERIM A	WARD YES	X NO		_	
	IF YES, STATE				] 1	ᅇ
PCS	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
	PART II - R	ECOMMENDER DATA				
14. NAME		15. ADDRESS	. / /			•
JOHNSON, KENNETH G.		USAMEDD/C	1	1 400		
	17. RANK	FORT CAMPBILL	. <b>9</b> ¥ \$2223	-1498		
C. UROLOGY SERVICES	LTC					
18. RELATIONSHIP TO AWARDEE		19. AGNACURE				
SUPERVISOR						
PART III - JUSTIFICATION AND (	STATION DATA	AUSO SPOCITIO PULLO SAXAL	m le for mont	onous acis or se	IFVICE)	
ACHIEVEMENT #1			<del></del>			<del></del>
AWARD RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MSM	AND BELOW	WILL BE LIMITED	TO NO MO	RE THAN 4 I	LINES PER	_
ACHIEVEMENT. IT IS NOT NECESSARY	TO COMPLEX	E AN 4 ACHIEVEM	ENTS. WI	IEN TYPED.	ACHIEVE	MENTS 1
THROUGH 4 WILL NOT EXCEED 12 CHAR	ACTERS PER	NCA.		ŕ		
ACHIEVEMENT #2						
AWARD RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HIGH	ER THEN IN	MSM: ACHIEVEM	ENTS 1 THI	ROUGH 4 WII	LL BE LEF	T BLANK.
THE NARRATIVE, NOT TO EXCEED 12 CF	ARACTERS P.	ER INCH, TYPED O	ON A SEPER	LATE PAGE, A	AND	
DOUBLE-SPACED. THIS ON PACE HERE	LATIVE WILL	BE ATTACHED TO	THE DA FO	JRM 638.		
	<u> </u>					
ACHIEVEMENT #3	J.,		···········	2		D. CED
AWARD RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE	JSM: THE NA	RRATIVE WILL CO	NIAINOI	U 8 PAGES, I	JOURTE-2	PACED,
NO MORE THAN 12 CHARACTER PER N	CH, AND WIL	T RE ATTACHED I	O THE DA	FURM 038.		
ACHIEVEMENT #4		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
THE NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION IS THE :	MOST BADOD?	TANT SECTION OF	THE RECO	MMENDATIC	יד מא או	HE .
CONTENT IS THE BASIS FOR APPROVAL					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	JR DIMITICO	V125 01 112 11				
					•	
21. PROPOSED CITATION	***					
THE PROPOSED CITATION IS LI	MITED TO	NO MORE THAN	6 LINES	FOR REC	OMMENDA	TIONS
OF AN MSM AND BELOW. FOR T	HE LM/SM,	THE CITATION	IS LIM	ITED TO	NO MORE	THAN
9 LINES DOUBLE-SPACED, TYPE	D ON ONE	PAGE WITH N	O MORE	THAN 12	CHARACI	TERS
PER INCH, AND ATTACHED TO T	HE DA FORM	M 638. FOR T	THE DSM,	THE CIT	ATION 1	rs. į
LIMITED TO NO MCRE THAN 19	LINES, DO	UBLE-SPACED,	TYPED C	n one pa	GE WITE	I NO
MORE THAN 12 CHARACTERS PER	INCH, ANI	D ATTACHED TO	THE DA	FORM 63	8. LEA	VE
THIS ITEM BLANK WHEN RECOMM	ENDATION :	IS HIGHER THA	in MSM.			

DA FORM 638, NOV 94

REPLACES DA FORM 638-1.
PREVIOUS EDITIONS OF DA FORM 638 ARE OBSOLETE.

USAPPC V3.00

			SSN	199 45 5000	_
22. I certify that this in	dividual is sumible to	PART IV - RECOMMEN	DATIONS/APPROVAL/DISAP	123-45-6789	-
AR 600-8-22; and	that the information	r an award in accordance contained in Part I is corre	with 22a. SIGNATURE	MOVAL	
23. INTERMEDIATE		cultained in Part I is com	ect.		22b. D/
AUTHORITY	a. TO COMMA	NDER, SE RMC	b. FROM COMMA	NDER, USAMEDDAC	4.
	PORT GC	ORDON, GA	FORT	AMEDDAC	G. DATE
d DECOLUMN			PORT	AMPBELL, KY	51
d. RECOMMEND:	X APPROVAL	DISAPPROVA			
		- JOIONFFROVA		DOWNGRADE	TO:
STEIN, FRANKLIN	<u>N.</u>		f. RANK		
g. TITLE/POSITION				COL	
COMMANDER			h. SIGNATURE		
. COMMENTS					
	<b>Y</b> .				
enig enig		•			
4. INTERMEDIATE	TO COMMANI	DER, HQ MEDCOM			
AUTHORITY	FORT SAME	JER, HU MEDCOM	b. FROM COMMAN	DER SZEDS	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- ORT SAW	HOUSTON, TX	EAT GO	RD N GA	C. DATE
. RECOMMEND:	<b>▽</b>				<u>9</u> JUI
NAME	X APPROVAL	DISAPPROVAL	UPGRADE TO:		
RAKE, JONATHAN	E		1. RANK	DOWNGRADE TO	<u>):</u>
TITLE/POSITION	4.		1		
OMMANDER			h. SIGNATURE	COL	
OMMENTS			ordinal Just	V	
	·				
			<b>(</b> ( ) )		
INTERMEDIATE I					
AUTHORITY	ТО			•	
THUMIT			b_EROM	1.	o. D. ==
				19	C: DATE
ECOMMEND:	A 2222				
	APPR(3\/ A				
AME	APPROVAL	DISAPPROVA	UPGRADE TO:	2011	
	TAPPROVAL	DISAPPROVA	UPGRIDE TO:	DOWNGRADE TO:	
	APPROVAL	DISAPPROVA	UPGRADE TO:	DOWNGRADE TO:	
IAME	TAPPROVAL	DISAPPAOVA	1. XATK	DOWNGRADE TO:	
ITLE/POSITION	TAPPROVAL	DISAPPAOVA	NPGRADE TO: f. NAMK h. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	
ITLE/POSITION	TAPPROVAL	DISAPPROVA	1. XATK	DOWNGRADE TO:	
ITLE/POSITION	TAPPROVAL	DISAPRIOVA	1. XATK	DOWNGRADE TO:	
ITLE/POSITION  OMMENTS	0	DISAPRIOVA	1. XATK	DOWNGRADE TO:	
TLE/POSITION  DIMMENTS  APPROVAL	0		f. NAAK h. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	
TTLE/POSITION  DIMMENTS  APPROVAL	0		1. XATK	·	OATE
OMMENTS  APPROVAL   a. TO	0		f. NAAK h. SIGNATURE	·	DATE
ITLE/POSITION  IMMENTS  APPROVAL  APPROVED	6		n. SIGNATURE b. FROM	·	DATE
ITLE/POSITION  IMMENTS  APPROVAL  APPROVED	0		n. SIGNATURE b. FROM	C.	DATE
ITLE/POSITION  IMMENTS  APPROVAL  APPROVED  ME	6	RECOMMEND	n. SIGNATURE b. FROM	·	DATE
ITLE/POSITION  IMMENTS  APPROVAL  APPROVED  ME	6	RECOMMEND	h. SIGNATURE b. FROM UPGRADE TO:	C.	DATE
APPROVED ME  LE/POSITION	6	RECOMMEND L	h. SIGNATURE b. FROM  JPGRADE TO:	C.	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  APPROVED  ME	6	RECOMMEND L	h. SIGNATURE b. FROM UPGRADE TO:	C.	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  APPROVED  ME	6	RECOMMEND L	h. SIGNATURE b. FROM  JPGRADE TO:	c. DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  APPROVED  ME	6	RECOMMEND L	f. NACK  h. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  JPGRADE TO:  I. RANK	c. DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  APPROVED  ME	6	RECOMMEND L	f. NACK  h. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  JPGRADE TO:  I. RANK	c. DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
MMENTS  APPROVAL UTHORITY  APPROVED  ME  E/POSITION	6	RECOMMEND L	h. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: E. RANK  D. SIGNATURE	c. DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED ME  E/POSITION  APPROVED  ME  E/POSITION	6	RECOMMEND L	f. NAK  m. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: T. RANK  D. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED ME  E/POSITION  APPROVED  ME  E/POSITION	6	RECOMMEND L	f. NAK  m. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: T. RANK  D. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED ME E/POSITION  APPROVED ME E/POSITION  MENTS  RDERS ISSUING HQ	DISAPPROVED	RECOMMEND L	h. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: E. RANK  D. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED ME E/POSITION  APPROVED ME E/POSITION  MENTS  RDERS ISSUING HQ	DISAPPROVED	RECOMMEND L	f. NAK  m. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: T. RANK  D. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  ME  LE/POSITION  MENTS  RDERS ISSUING HQ  AME OF ORDERS APPROVED	DISAPPROVED	PART V - ORDE	D. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  JPGRADE TO: F. RANK  D. SIGNATURE  PRINTER  TO DETERMANENT ORDER NO.	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  ME  LE/POSITION  MENTS  RDERS ISSUING HQ  AME OF ORDERS APPROVED	DISAPPROVED	PART V - ORDE	f. NAK  m. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: T. RANK  D. SIGNATURE	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVED  APPROVED  ME  LE/POSITION  MENTS  RDERS ISSUING HQ  AME OF ORDERS APPROVED	DISAPPROVED	PART V - ORDE	D. FROM  JPGRADE TO:  RANK  SIGNATURE  RS DATA  TO. PERMANENT ORDER NO.  B. RANK	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVAL a. TO AUTHORITY a. TO ME LE/POSITION IMENTS RDERS ISSUING HQ	DISAPPROVED	PART V - ORDE	D. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  JPGRADE TO: F. RANK  D. SIGNATURE  PRINTER  TO DETERMANENT ORDER NO.	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVAL A. TO APPROVAL A. TO APPROVED ME LE/POSITION  RDERS ISSUING HQ  AME OF ORDERS APPROVED AME APPROVED HE	DISAPPROVED	PART V - ORDE	D. FROM  JPGRADE TO:  RANK  SIGNATURE  RS DATA  TO. PERMANENT ORDER NO.  B. RANK	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
OMMENTS  APPROVAL   a. TO	DISAPPROVED	PART V - ORDE 23	I. NAK  M. SIGNATURE  b. FROM  UPGRADE TO: I. RANK  D. SIGNATURE  ERS DATA  Tb. PERMANENT ORDER NO.  b. RANK  APPROVED AWARD	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE
APPROVAL AUTHORITY  APPROVED  ME  LE/POSITION  RDERS ISSUING HQ  AME OF ORDERS APPROVED  AME OF ORDERS	DISAPPROVED  VAL AUTHORITY	PART V - ORDE 23	D. FROM  JPGRADE TO:  RANK  SIGNATURE  RS DATA  TO. PERMANENT ORDER NO.  B. RANK	DOWNGRADE TO:	DATE